

Fundamentals of Telecommunication Networks


ECP 602

Dr. Samy S. Soliman

Electronics and Electrical Communications Dept.
Cairo University



DATA LINK LAYER



**Computer Networking: A Top Down
Approach, 6th edition. Jim Kurose, Keith
Ross, Addison-Wesley, March 2012.**

Slides are adapted from the book slides

All material copyright 1996-2010

J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

Data Link Layer

Our goals:

- ❖ understand principles behind data link layer services:
 - error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - link layer addressing
 - reliable data transfer, flow control.
- ❖ instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
 - IEEE 802.3 Ethernet
 - IEEE 802.11 WLAN ("WiFi")

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS


5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Link Layer: Introduction

Terminology:

- ❖ hosts and routers are **nodes**
- ❖ communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path are **links**
 - wired links
 - wireless links
 - LANs
- ❖ layer-2 packet is a **frame**, encapsulates datagram



data-link layer has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node to **physically adjacent** node over a link

Link layer: context

- ❖ datagram transferred by different link protocols over different links:
 - e.g., Ethernet on first link, frame relay on intermediate links, 802.11 on last link
- ❖ each link protocol provides different services
 - e.g., may or may not provide rdt over link

transportation analogy

- ❖ trip from Princeton to Lausanne
 - limo: Princeton to JFK
 - plane: JFK to Geneva
 - train: Geneva to Lausanne
- ❖ tourist = **datagram**
- ❖ transport segment = **communication link**
- ❖ transportation mode = **link layer protocol**
- ❖ travel agent = **routing algorithm**

Link Layer Services

❖ *framing*

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer

❖ *Medium access control*

- channel access if shared medium
- "MAC" addresses used in frame headers to identify source, dest
 - different from IP address!

❖ *reliable delivery between adjacent nodes*

- we learned how to do this already!
- seldom used on low bit-error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
- wireless links: high error rates
 - Q: why both link-level and end-end reliability?

Link Layer Services (more)

❖ *flow control:*

- pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes

❖ *error detection:*

- errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
- receiver detects presence of errors:
 - signals sender for retransmission or drops frame

❖ *error correction:*


- receiver identifies *and corrects* bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission

❖ *half-duplex and full-duplex*


- with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time

Where is the link layer implemented?

- ❖ in each and every host
- ❖ link layer implemented in "adaptor" (aka **network interface card** NIC)
 - Ethernet card, PCMCIA card, 802.11 card
 - implements link, physical layer
- ❖ attaches into host's system buses
- ❖ combination of hardware, software, firmware



Adaptors Communicating



❖ sending side:

- encapsulates datagram in frame
- adds error checking bits, rdt, flow control, etc.

❖ receiving side

- looks for errors, rdt, flow control, etc
- extracts datagram, passes to upper layer at receiving side

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS

5.9 A day in the life of a web request


5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Error Detection

EDC= Error Detection and Correction bits (redundancy)

D = Data protected by error checking, may include header fields


- Error detection not 100% reliable!
 - protocol may miss some errors, but rarely
 - larger EDC field yields better detection and correction



Parity Checking


Single Bit Parity:

Detect single bit errors



Two Dimensional Bit Parity:

Detect and correct single bit errors



1	0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
0	0	1	0	1

no errors

1	0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
0	0	1	0	1

parity
error

*correctable
single bit error*

Internet checksum (review)

Goal: detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted packet (note: used at transport layer *only*)

Sender:


- ❖ treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- ❖ checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- ❖ sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

Receiver:

- ❖ compute checksum of received segment
- ❖ check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - NO - error detected
 - YES - no error detected.
But maybe errors nonetheless?

Checksumming: Cyclic Redundancy Check

- ❖ view data bits, **D**, as a binary number
- ❖ choose $r+1$ bit pattern (generator), **G**
- ❖ goal: choose r CRC bits, **R**, such that
 - $\langle D, R \rangle$ exactly divisible by G (modulo 2)
 - receiver knows G , divides $\langle D, R \rangle$ by G . If non-zero remainder: error detected!
 - can detect all burst errors less than $r+1$ bits
- ❖ widely used in practice (Ethernet, 802.11 WiFi, ATM)



$$D * 2^r \text{ XOR } R$$

mathematical formula

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS


5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Multiple Access Links and Protocols

Two types of "links":


- ❖ point-to-point
 - PPP for dial-up access
 - point-to-point link between Ethernet switch and host
- ❖ **broadcast** (shared wire or medium)
 - old-fashioned Ethernet
 - upstream HFC
 - 802.11 wireless LAN



shared wire (e.g.,
cabled Ethernet)



shared RF
(e.g., 802.11 WiFi)



shared RF
(satellite)



humans at a
cocktail party
(shared air, acoustical)

Multiple Access protocols

- ❖ single shared broadcast channel
 - ❖ two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes: interference
 - **collision** if node receives two or more signals at the same time
- multiple access protocol
- ❖ algorithm that determines how nodes share channel, i.e., determine when node can transmit
 - Distributed Vs Centralized
 - ❖ communication about channel sharing must use channel itself!
 - no out-of-band channel for coordination

Ideal Multiple Access Protocol

Broadcast channel of rate R bps

1. when one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate R .
2. when M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate R/M
3. When fully decentralized:
 - no special node to coordinate transmissions
 - no synchronization of clocks, slots
4. simple

MAC Protocols: a taxonomy

Three broad classes:

❖ Channel Partitioning

- divide channel into smaller “pieces” (time slots, frequency, code)
- allocate piece to node for exclusive use

❖ Random Access

- channel not divided, allow collisions
- “recover” from collisions


❖ “Taking turns”

- nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: TDMA

TDMA: time division multiple access


- ❖ access to channel in "rounds"
- ❖ each station gets fixed length slot (length = pkt trans time) in each round
- ❖ unused slots go idle
- ❖ example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, slots 2,5,6 idle



Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: FDMA

FDMA: frequency division multiple access

- ❖ channel spectrum divided into frequency bands
- ❖ each station assigned fixed frequency band
- ❖ unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle
- ❖ example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, frequency bands 2,5,6 idle



Random Access Protocols

- ❖ When node has packet to send
 - transmit at full channel data rate R .
 - no *a priori* coordination among nodes
- ❖ two or more transmitting nodes → “collision”,
- ❖ **random access MAC protocol** specifies:
 - how to detect collisions
 - how to recover from collisions (e.g., via delayed retransmissions)
- ❖ Examples of random access MAC protocols:
 - slotted ALOHA
 - ALOHA
 - CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA

Slotted ALOHA


Assumptions:

- ❖ all frames same size
- ❖ time divided into equal size slots (time to transmit 1 frame)
- ❖ nodes start to transmit only slot beginning
- ❖ nodes are synchronized
- ❖ if 2 or more nodes transmit in slot, all nodes detect collision

Operation:

- ❖ when node obtains fresh frame, transmits in next slot
 - *if no collision:* node can send new frame in next slot
 - *if collision:* node retransmits frame in each subsequent slot with prob. p until success

Slotted ALOHA



Pros

- ❖ single active node can continuously transmit at full rate of channel
- ❖ highly decentralized: only slots in nodes need to be in sync
- ❖ simple

Cons

- ❖ collisions, wasting slots
- ❖ idle slots
- ❖ nodes may be able to detect collision in less than time to transmit packet
- ❖ clock synchronization

Slotted Aloha efficiency

Efficiency : long-run fraction of successful slots (many nodes, all with many frames to send)

- ❖ suppose: N nodes with many frames to send, each transmits in slot with probability p
- ❖ prob that given node has success in a slot = $p(1-p)^{N-1}$
- ❖ prob that any node has a success = $Np(1-p)^{N-1}$


- ❖ max efficiency: find p^* that maximizes $Np(1-p)^{N-1}$
- ❖ for many nodes, take limit of $Np^*(1-p^*)^{N-1}$ as N goes to infinity, gives:
Max efficiency = $1/e = .37$

At best: channel used for useful transmissions 37% of time!



Pure (unslotted) ALOHA

- ❖ unslotted Aloha: simpler, no synchronization
- ❖ when frame first arrives
 - transmit immediately
- ❖ collision probability increases:
 - frame sent at t_0 collides with other frames sent in $[t_0-1, t_0+1]$



Pure Aloha efficiency

$P(\text{success by given node}) = P(\text{node transmits}) \cdot$

$P(\text{no other node transmits in } [t_0-1, t_0] \cdot$

$P(\text{no other node transmits in } [t_0, t_0+1])$

$$= p \cdot (1-p)^{N-1} \cdot (1-p)^{N-1}$$

$$= p \cdot (1-p)^{2(N-1)}$$

... choosing optimum p and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$...

$$= 1/(2e) = .18$$

even worse than slotted Aloha!

CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

CSMA: listen before transmit:

If channel sensed idle: transmit entire frame

- ❖ If channel sensed busy, defer transmission
- ❖ human analogy: don't interrupt others!

CSMA collisions

collisions can still occur:


propagation delay means
two nodes may not hear
each other's transmission

collision:

entire packet transmission
time wasted

note:

role of distance & propagation
delay in determining collision
probability




CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA

- collisions detected within short time
- colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage
- ❖ collision detection:
 - easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
 - difficult in wireless LANs: received signal strength overwhelmed by local transmission strength
- ❖ human analogy: the polite conversationalist

CSMA/CD collision detection



Ethernet CSMA/CD algorithm

1. NIC receives datagram from network layer, creates frame
2. If NIC senses channel idle, starts frame transmission
If NIC senses channel busy, waits until channel idle, then transmits
3. If NIC transmits entire frame without detecting another transmission, NIC is done with frame !
4. If NIC detects another transmission while transmitting, aborts and sends jam signal
5. After aborting, NIC enters **exponential backoff**: after m th collision, NIC chooses K at random from $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$. NIC waits $K \cdot 512$ bit times, returns to Step 2

Ethernet's CSMA/CD (more)

Exponential Backoff:

- ❖ *Goal*: adapt retransmission attempts to estimated current load
 - heavy load: random wait will be longer
- ❖ first collision: choose K from $\{0,1\}$; delay is $K \cdot 512$ bit transmission times
- ❖ after second collision: choose K from $\{0,1,2,3\}$...
- ❖ after ten collisions, choose K from $\{0,1,2,3,4,\dots,1023\}$

CSMA/CD efficiency

- ❖ T_{prop} = max prop delay between 2 nodes in LAN
- ❖ t_{trans} = time to transmit max-size frame

$$\text{efficiency} = \frac{1}{1 + 5t_{\text{prop}}/t_{\text{trans}}}$$

- ❖ efficiency goes to 1
 - as t_{prop} goes to 0
 - as t_{trans} goes to infinity
- ❖ better performance than ALOHA: and simple, cheap, decentralized!

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- share channel *efficiently* and *fairly* at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, $1/N$ bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

random access MAC protocols

- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- high load: collision overhead


"taking turns" protocols

look for best of both worlds!

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

Polling:


- ❖ master node
"invites" slave nodes
to transmit in turn
- ❖ typically used with
"dumb" slave devices
- ❖ concerns:
 - polling overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (master)



"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

Token passing:

- ❖ control **token** passed from one node to next sequentially.
- ❖ token message
- ❖ concerns:
 - token overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (token)



Summary of MAC protocols

- ❖ *channel partitioning*, by time, frequency or code
 - Time Division, Frequency Division
- ❖ *random access* (dynamic),
 - ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
 - carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
 - CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
 - CSMA/CA used in 802.11
- ❖ *taking turns*
 - polling from central site, token passing
 - Bluetooth, FDDI, IBM Token Ring

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS

5.9 A day in the life of a web request


5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

MAC Addresses and ARP

- ❖ 32-bit IP address:
 - *network-layer* address
 - used to get datagram to destination IP subnet
- ❖ MAC (or LAN or physical or Ethernet) address:
 - function: *get frame from one interface to another physically-connected interface (same network)*
 - 48 bit MAC address (for most LANs)
 - burned in NIC ROM, also sometimes software settable

LAN Addresses and ARP

Each adapter on LAN has unique LAN address




LAN Address (more)

- ❖ MAC address allocation administered by IEEE
- ❖ manufacturer buys portion of MAC address space (to assure uniqueness)
- ❖ analogy:
 - (a) MAC address: like Social Security Number
 - (b) IP address: like postal address
- ❖ MAC flat address → portability
 - can move LAN card from one LAN to another
- ❖ IP hierarchical address NOT portable
 - address depends on IP subnet to which node is attached

ARP: Address Resolution Protocol

Question: how to determine MAC address of B knowing B's IP address?

- ❖ Each IP node (host, router) on LAN has **ARP** table
- ❖ ARP table: IP/MAC address mappings for some LAN nodes
 - < IP address; MAC address; TTL >
 - TTL (Time To Live): time after which address mapping will be forgotten (typically 20 min)




ARP protocol: Same LAN (network)

- ❖ A wants to send datagram to B, and B's MAC address not in A's ARP table.
- ❖ A **broadcasts** ARP query packet, containing B's IP address
 - dest MAC address = FF-FF-FF-FF-FF-FF
 - all machines on LAN receive ARP query
- ❖ B receives ARP packet, replies to A with its (B's) MAC address
 - frame sent to A's MAC address (unicast)
- ❖ A caches (saves) IP-to-MAC address pair in its ARP table until information becomes old (times out)
 - soft state: information that times out (goes away) unless refreshed
- ❖ ARP is "plug-and-play":
 - nodes create their ARP tables *without intervention from net administrator*

Addressing: routing to another LAN


walkthrough: **send datagram from A to B via R.**

- focus on addressing - at both IP (datagram) and MAC layer (frame)
- assume A knows B's IP address
- assume A knows B's MAC address (how?)
- assume A knows IP address of first hop router, R (how?)
- assume A knows MAC address of first hop router interface (how?)




Addressing: routing to another LAN

- ❖ A creates IP datagram with IP source A, destination B
- ❖ A creates link-layer frame with R's MAC address as dest, frame contains A-to-B IP datagram




Addressing: routing to another LAN

- ❖ frame sent from A to R
- ❖ frame received at R, datagram removed, passed up to IP




Addressing: routing to another LAN

- ❖ R forwards datagram with IP source A, destination B
- ❖ R creates link-layer frame with B's MAC address as dest, frame contains A-to-B IP datagram




Addressing: routing to another LAN

- ❖ R forwards datagram with IP source A, destination B
- ❖ R creates link-layer frame with B's MAC address as dest, frame contains A-to-B IP datagram



Addressing: routing to another LAN

- ❖ R forwards datagram with IP source A, destination B
- ❖ R creates link-layer frame with B's MAC address as dest, frame contains A-to-B IP datagram



Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS


5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Ethernet

"dominant" wired LAN technology:


- ❖ cheap \$20 for NIC
- ❖ first widely used LAN technology
- ❖ simpler, cheaper than token LANs and ATM
- ❖ kept up with speed race: 10 Mbps - 10 Gbps




Metcalfe's Ethernet sketch

Star topology

- ❖ bus topology popular through mid 90s
 - all nodes in same collision domain (can collide with each other)
- ❖ today: star topology prevails
 - active *switch* in center
 - each "spoke" runs a (separate) Ethernet protocol (nodes do not collide with each other)




bus: coaxial cable



Ethernet Frame Structure

Sending adapter encapsulates IP datagram (or other network layer protocol packet) in **Ethernet frame**




Preamble:

- ❖ 7 bytes with pattern 10101010 followed by one byte with pattern 10101011
- ❖ used to synchronize receiver, sender clock rates

Ethernet Frame Structure (more)

- ❖ **Addresses:** 6 bytes
 - if adapter receives frame with matching destination address, or with broadcast address (e.g. ARP packet), it passes data in frame to network layer protocol
 - otherwise, adapter discards frame
- ❖ **Type:** indicates higher layer protocol (mostly IP but others possible, e.g., Novell IPX, AppleTalk)
- ❖ **CRC:** checked at receiver, if error is detected, frame is dropped



Ethernet: Unreliable, connectionless

- ❖ **connectionless**: No handshaking between sending and receiving NICs
- ❖ **unreliable**: receiving NIC doesn't send acks or nacks to sending NIC
 - stream of datagrams passed to network layer can have gaps (missing datagrams)
 - gaps will be filled if app is using TCP
 - otherwise, app will see gaps
- ❖ Ethernet's MAC protocol: unslotted **CSMA/CD**

Ethernet CSMA/CD algorithm

1. NIC receives datagram from network layer, creates frame
2. If NIC senses channel idle, starts frame transmission
If NIC senses channel busy, waits until channel idle, then transmits
3. If NIC transmits entire frame without detecting another transmission, NIC is done with frame !
4. If NIC detects another transmission while transmitting, aborts and sends jam signal
5. After aborting, NIC enters **exponential backoff**: after m th collision, NIC chooses K at random from $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$. NIC waits $K \cdot 512$ bit times, returns to Step 2

Ethernet's CSMA/CD (more)

Jam Signal: make sure all other transmitters are aware of collision; 48 bits

Bit time: .1 microsec for 10 Mbps Ethernet ;
for $K=1023$, wait time is about 50 msec


See/interact with Java applet on AWL Web site: highly recommended !

Exponential Backoff:


- ❖ **Goal:** adapt retransmission attempts to estimated current load
 - heavy load: random wait will be longer
- ❖ first collision: choose K from $\{0,1\}$; delay is $K \cdot 512$ bit transmission times
- ❖ after second collision: choose K from $\{0,1,2,3\}$...
- ❖ after ten collisions, choose K from $\{0,1,2,3,4,...,1023\}$

802.3 Ethernet Standards: Link & Physical Layers

- ❖ *many* different Ethernet standards
 - common MAC protocol and frame format
 - different speeds: 2 Mbps, 10 Mbps, 100 Mbps, 1Gbps, 10G bps
 - different physical layer media: fiber, cable



Manchester encoding



- ❖ used in 10BaseT
- ❖ each bit has a transition
- ❖ allows clocks in sending and receiving nodes to synchronize to each other
 - no need for a centralized, global clock among nodes!
- ❖ Hey, this is physical-layer stuff!

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches, LANs, VLANs

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS


5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Hubs

... physical-layer ("dumb") repeaters:

- bits coming in one link go out *all* other links at same rate
- all nodes connected to hub can collide with one another
- no frame buffering
- no CSMA/CD at hub: host NICs detect collisions




Switch

- ❖ link-layer device: smarter than hubs, take *active role*
 - store, forward Ethernet frames
 - examine incoming frame's MAC address, *selectively* forward frame to one-or-more outgoing links when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment
- ❖ *transparent*
 - hosts are unaware of presence of switches
- ❖ *plug-and-play, self-learning*
 - switches do not need to be configured

Switch: allows multiple simultaneous transmissions


- ❖ hosts have dedicated, direct connection to switch
- ❖ switches buffer packets
- ❖ Ethernet protocol used on each incoming link, but no collisions; full duplex
 - each link is its own collision domain
- ❖ *switching*: A-to-A' and B-to-B' simultaneously, without collisions
 - not possible with dumb hub



switch with six interfaces
(1,2,3,4,5,6)

Switch Table

- ❖ Q: how does switch know that A' reachable via interface 4, B' reachable via interface 5?
- ❖ A: each switch has a **switch table**, each entry:
 - (MAC address of host, interface to reach host, time stamp)
- ❖ looks like a routing table!
- ❖ Q: how are entries created, maintained in switch table?
 - something like a routing protocol?




*switch with six interfaces
(1,2,3,4,5,6)*

Switch: self-learning

❖ switch *learns* which hosts can be reached through which interfaces

- when frame received, switch “learns” location of sender: incoming LAN segment
- records sender/location pair in switch table



MAC addr	interface	TTL
A	1	60

*Switch table
(initially empty)*


Switch: frame filtering/forwarding

When frame received:

1. record link associated with sending host
 2. index switch table using MAC dest address
 3. if entry found for destination
then {
 if dest on segment from which frame arrived
 then drop the frame
 else forward the frame on interface indicated
}
else flood
- forward on all but the interface
on which the frame arrived*
- 

Self-learning, forwarding: example

- ❖ frame destination unknown: *flood*
- ❖ destination A location known: *selective send*




MAC addr	interface	TTL
A	1	60
A'	4	60

Switch table
(initially empty)

Interconnecting switches


- ❖ switches can be connected together



- ❖ Q: sending from A to G - how does S₁ know to forward frame destined to F via S₄ and S₃?
- ❖ A: self learning! (works exactly the same as in single-switch case!)


Self-learning multi-switch example

Suppose C sends frame to I, I responds to C




- ❖ Q: show switch tables and packet forwarding in S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄

Institutional network




Switches vs. Routers

- ❖ both store-and-forward devices
 - routers: network-layer devices (examine network-layer headers)
 - switches are link-layer devices (examine link-layer headers)
- ❖ routers maintain routing tables, implement routing algorithms
- ❖ switches maintain switch tables, implement filtering, learning algorithms



VLANs: motivation

What's wrong with this picture?



What happens if:


- ❖ CS user moves office to EE, but wants connect to CS switch?
- ❖ single broadcast domain:
 - all layer-2 broadcast traffic (ARP, DHCP) crosses entire LAN (security/privacy, efficiency issues)
- ❖ each lowest level switch has only few ports in use

VLANs


Virtual Local Area Network

Switch(es) supporting VLAN capabilities can be configured to define multiple virtual LANS over single physical LAN infrastructure.

Port-based VLAN: switch ports grouped (by switch management software) so that *single* physical switch




... operates as *multiple* virtual switches




Port-based VLAN

- ❖ *traffic isolation*: frames to/from ports 1-8 can only reach ports 1-8
 - can also define VLAN based on MAC addresses of endpoints, rather than switch port
- ❖ *dynamic membership*: ports can be dynamically assigned among VLANs
- ❖ *forwarding between VLANs*: done via routing (just as with separate switches)
 - in practice vendors sell combined switches plus routers




VLANs spanning multiple switches



- ❖ **trunk port:** carries frames between VLANs defined over multiple physical switches
 - frames forwarded within VLAN between switches can't be vanilla 802.1 frames (must carry VLAN ID info)
 - 802.1q protocol adds/removed additional header fields for frames forwarded between trunk ports

802.1Q VLAN frame format



Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS

5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Point to Point Data Link Control

- ❖ one sender, one receiver, one link: easier than broadcast link:
 - no Media Access Control
 - no need for explicit MAC addressing
 - e.g., dialup link, ISDN line
- ❖ popular point-to-point DLC protocols:
 - PPP (point-to-point protocol)
 - HDLC: High level data link control (Data link used to be considered “high layer” in protocol stack!)

PPP Design Requirements [RFC 1557]

- ❖ **packet framing:** encapsulation of network-layer datagram in data link frame
 - carry network layer data of any network layer protocol (not just IP) *at same time*
 - ability to demultiplex upwards
- ❖ **bit transparency:** must carry any bit pattern in the data field
- ❖ **error detection** (no correction)
- ❖ **connection liveness:** detect, signal link failure to network layer
- ❖ **network layer address negotiation:** endpoint can learn/configure each other's network address


PPP non-requirements

- ❖ no error correction/recovery
- ❖ no flow control
- ❖ out of order delivery OK
- ❖ no need to support multipoint links (e.g., polling)

Error recovery, flow control, data re-ordering
all relegated to higher layers!


PPP Data Frame

- ❖ **Flag:** delimiter (framing)
- ❖ **Address:** does nothing (only one option)
- ❖ **Control:** does nothing; in the future possible multiple control fields
- ❖ **Protocol:** upper layer protocol to which frame delivered (e.g., PPP-LCP, IP, IPCP, etc)



PPP Data Frame


- ❖ **info**: upper layer data being carried
- ❖ **check**: cyclic redundancy check for error detection



Byte Stuffing

- ❖ “data transparency” requirement: data field must be allowed to include flag pattern <01111110>
 - Q: is received <01111110> data or flag?
- ❖ **Sender:** adds (“stuffs”) extra < 01111110> byte after each < 01111110> *data* byte
- ❖ **Receiver:**
 - two 01111110 bytes in a row: discard first byte, continue data reception
 - single 01111110: flag byte


Byte Stuffing



PPP Data Control Protocol

Before exchanging network-layer data, data link peers must

- ❖ **configure PPP link** (max. frame length, authentication)
- ❖ **learn/configure network layer information**
 - for IP: carry IP Control Protocol (IPCP) msgs (protocol field: 8021) to configure/learn IP address



Link Layer

- ❖ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❖ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❖ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❖ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- ❖ 5.5 Ethernet
- ❖ 5.6 Link-layer switches
- ❖ 5.7 PPP
- ❖ 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- ❖ 5.9 A day in the life of a web request
- ❖ 5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Virtualization of networks

Virtualization of resources: powerful abstraction in systems engineering:

- ❖ computing examples: virtual memory, virtual devices
 - Virtual machines: e.g., java
 - IBM VM os from 1960's/70's
- ❖ layering of abstractions: don't sweat the details of the lower layer, only deal with lower layers abstractly


The Internet: virtualizing networks

1974: multiple unconnected nets


- ARPAnet
- data-over-cable networks
- packet satellite network (Aloha)
- packet radio network

... differing in:

- addressing conventions
- packet formats
- error recovery
- routing



ARPAnet



satellite net

"A Protocol for Packet Network Intercommunication",
V. Cerf, R. Kahn, IEEE Transactions on Communications,
May, 1974, pp. 637-648.


The Internet: virtualizing networks

Internetwork layer (IP):

- ❖ addressing: internetwork appears as single, uniform entity, despite underlying local network heterogeneity
- ❖ network of networks

Gateway:

- ❖ "embed internetwork packets in local packet format or extract them"
- ❖ route (at internetwork level) to next gateway



Cerf & Kahn's Internetwork Architecture

What is virtualized?

- ❖ two layers of addressing: internetwork and local network
- ❖ new layer (IP) makes everything homogeneous at internetwork layer
- ❖ underlying local network technology
 - cable
 - satellite
 - 56K telephone modem
 - today: ATM, MPLS

... “invisible” at internetwork layer. Looks like a link layer technology to IP!

ATM and MPLS


- ❖ ATM, MPLS separate networks in their own right
 - different service models, addressing, routing from Internet
- ❖ viewed by Internet as logical link connecting IP routers
 - just like dialup link is really part of separate network (telephone network)
- ❖ ATM, MPLS: of technical interest in their own right

Asynchronous Transfer Mode: ATM

- ❖ 1990's/00 standard for high-speed (155Mbps to 622 Mbps and higher) *Broadband Integrated Service Digital Network* architecture
- ❖ Goal: *integrated, end-end transport of carry voice, video, data*
 - meeting timing/QoS requirements of voice, video (versus Internet best-effort model)
 - "next generation" telephony: technical roots in telephone world
 - packet-switching (fixed length packets, called "cells") using virtual circuits

Multiprotocol label switching (MPLS)


- ❖ initial goal: speed up IP forwarding by using fixed length label (instead of IP address) to do forwarding
 - borrowing ideas from Virtual Circuit (VC) approach
 - but IP datagram still keeps IP address!



MPLS capable routers

- ❖ a.k.a. label-switched router
- ❖ forwards packets to outgoing interface based only on label value (don't inspect IP address)
 - MPLS forwarding table distinct from IP forwarding tables
- ❖ signaling protocol needed to set up forwarding
 - RSVP-TE
 - forwarding possible along paths that IP alone would not allow (e.g., source-specific routing) !!
 - use MPLS for traffic engineering
- ❖ must co-exist with IP-only routers

MPLS forwarding tables



Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS


5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")


Synthesis: a day in the life of a web request

- ❖ journey down protocol stack complete!
 - application, transport, network, link
- ❖ putting-it-all-together: synthesis!
 - *goal*: identify, review, understand protocols (at all layers) involved in seemingly simple scenario: requesting www page
 - *scenario*: student attaches laptop to campus network, requests/receives www.google.com

A day in the life: scenario




A day in the life... connecting to the Internet



- ❖ connecting laptop needs to get its own IP address, addr of first-hop router, addr of DNS server: use **DHCP**
- ❖ DHCP request *encapsulated* in **UDP**, encapsulated in **IP**, encapsulated in **802.1** Ethernet
- ❖ Ethernet frame *broadcast* (dest: FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF) on LAN, received at router running **DHCP** server
- ❖ Ethernet *demuxed* to IP demuxed, UDP demuxed to DHCP


A day in the life... connecting to the Internet



- ❖ DHCP server formulates **DHCP ACK** containing client's IP address, IP address of first-hop router for client, name & IP address of DNS server
- ❖ encapsulation at DHCP server, frame forwarded (**switch learning**) through LAN, demultiplexing at client
- ❖ DHCP client receives DHCP ACK reply


Client now has IP address, knows name & addr of DNS server, IP address of its first-hop router

A day in the life... ARP (before DNS, before HTTP)



- ❖ before sending **HTTP** request, need IP address of `www.google.com`: **DNS**
- ❖ DNS query created, encapsulated in UDP, encapsulated in IP, encapsulated in Eth. In order to send frame to router, need MAC address of router interface: **ARP**
- ❖ **ARP query** broadcast, received by router, which replies with **ARP reply** giving MAC address of router interface
- ❖ client now knows MAC address of first hop router, so can now send frame containing DNS query


A day in the life... using DNS



- ❖ IP datagram containing DNS query forwarded via LAN switch from client to 1st hop router



- ❖ IP datagram forwarded from campus network into comcast network, routed (tables created by **RIP**, **OSPF**, **IS-IS** and/or **BGP** routing protocols) to DNS server
- ❖ demuxed to DNS server
- ❖ DNS server replies to client with IP address of www.google.com

A day in the life... TCP connection carrying HTTP



A day in the life... HTTP request/reply

❖ web page **finally (!!!)** displayed



- ❖ **HTTP request** sent into TCP socket
- ❖ IP datagram containing HTTP request routed to `www.google.com`
- ❖ web server responds with **HTTP reply** (containing web page)
- ❖ IP datagram containing HTTP reply routed back to client

Link Layer

5.1 Introduction and services

5.2 Error detection and correction

5.3 Multiple access protocols

5.4 Link-Layer Addressing

5.5 Ethernet

5.6 Link-layer switches

5.7 PPP

5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS

5.9 A day in the life of a web request

5.10 IEEE 802.11 wireless LANs ("Wi-Fi")

Wireless Link Characteristics (1)


Differences from wired link

- **decreased signal strength:** radio signal attenuates as it propagates through matter (path loss)
- **interference from other sources:** standardized wireless network frequencies (e.g., 2.4 GHz) shared by other devices (e.g., phone); devices (motors) interfere as well
- **multipath propagation:** radio signal reflects off objects ground, arriving at destination at slightly different times

.... make communication across (even a point to point) wireless link much more "difficult"

Wireless Link Characteristics (2)


- ❖ SNR: signal-to-noise ratio
 - larger SNR - easier to extract signal from noise (a “good thing”)
- ❖ *SNR versus BER tradeoffs*
 - *given physical layer:* increase power → increase SNR → decrease BER
 - *given SNR:* choose physical layer that meets BER requirement, giving highest throughput
 - SNR may change with mobility: dynamically adapt physical layer (modulation technique, rate)



..... QAM256 (8 Mbps)
- - - QAM16 (4 Mbps)
— BPSK (1 Mbps)


Wireless network characteristics

Multiple wireless senders and receivers create additional problems (beyond multiple access):



Hidden terminal problem


- ❖ *B, A hear each other*
- ❖ *B, C hear each other*
- ❖ *A, C can not hear each other*
means A, C unaware of their
interference at B



Signal attenuation:

- ❖ *B, A hear each other*
- ❖ *B, C hear each other*
- ❖ *A, C can not hear each other*
interfering at B

Characteristics of selected wireless link standards



Wireless network taxonomy

	<i>single hop</i>	<i>multiple hops</i>
<i>infrastructure (e.g., APs)</i>	<i>host connects to base station (WiFi, WiMAX, cellular) which connects to larger Internet</i>	<i>host may have to relay through several wireless nodes to connect to larger Internet: mesh net</i>
<i>no infrastructure</i>	<i>no base station, no connection to larger Internet (Bluetooth, ad hoc nets)</i>	<i>no base station, no connection to larger Internet. May have to relay to reach other a given wireless node MANET, VANET</i>

IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN

❖ 802.11b

- 2.4-5 GHz unlicensed spectrum
- up to 11 Mbps
- direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) in physical layer
 - all hosts use same chipping code

❖ 802.11a

- 5-6 GHz range
- up to 54 Mbps

❖ 802.11g

- 2.4-5 GHz range
- up to 54 Mbps


❖ 802.11n: multiple antennae

- 2.4-5 GHz range
- up to 200 Mbps

❖ *all use CSMA/CA for multiple access*

❖ *all have base-station and ad-hoc network versions*

802.11 LAN architecture




- ❖ *wireless host communicates with base station*
 - *base station = access point (AP)*
- ❖ *Basic Service Set (BSS)* (aka "cell") in infrastructure mode contains:
 - *wireless hosts*
 - *access point (AP): base station*
 - *ad hoc mode: hosts only*

802.11: Channels, association


- ❖ 802.11b: 2.4GHz-2.485GHz spectrum divided into 11 channels at different frequencies
 - AP admin chooses frequency for AP
 - interference possible: channel can be same as that chosen by neighboring AP!
- ❖ host: must *associate* with an AP
 - scans channels, listening for *beacon frames* containing AP's name (SSID) and MAC address
 - selects AP to associate with
 - may perform authentication
 - will typically run DHCP to get IP address in AP's subnet

802.11: passive/active scanning



Passive Scanning:

- (1) beacon frames sent from APs
- (2) association Request frame sent:
H1 to selected AP
- (3) association Response frame sent:
H1 to selected AP





Active Scanning:

- (1) Probe Request frame broadcast
from H1
- (2) Probes response frame sent from
APs
- (3) Association Request frame sent:
H1 to selected AP
- (4) Association Response frame
sent: H1 to selected AP

IEEE 802.11: multiple access

- ❖ avoid collisions: 2+ nodes transmitting at same time
- ❖ 802.11: CSMA - sense before transmitting
 - don't collide with ongoing transmission by other node
- ❖ 802.11: no collision detection!
 - difficult to receive (sense collisions) when transmitting due to weak received signals (fading)
 - can't sense all collisions in any case: hidden terminal, fading
 - goal: *avoid collisions*: CSMA/C(ollision)A(voidance)




IEEE 802.11 MAC Protocol: CSMA/CA

802.11 sender

- 1 if sense channel idle for **DIFS** then
transmit entire frame (no CD)
- 2 if sense channel busy then
start random backoff time
timer counts down while channel idle
transmit when timer expires
if no ACK, increase random backoff
interval, repeat 2

802.11 receiver

- if frame received OK
return ACK after **SIFS** (ACK needed due
to hidden terminal problem)




Avoiding collisions (more)


- idea:* allow sender to “reserve” channel rather than random access of data frames: avoid collisions of long data frames
- ❖ sender first transmits *small* request-to-send (RTS) packets to BS using CSMA
 - RTSs may still collide with each other (but they're short)
 - ❖ BS broadcasts clear-to-send CTS in response to RTS
 - ❖ CTS heard by all nodes
 - sender transmits data frame
 - other stations defer transmissions

*avoid data frame collisions completely
using small reservation packets!*

Collision Avoidance: RTS-CTS exchange



802.11 frame: addressing




Address 1: MAC address of wireless host or AP to receive this frame

Address 2: MAC address of wireless host or AP transmitting this frame


Address 3: MAC address of router interface to which AP is attached

Address 4: used only in ad hoc mode

802.11 frame: addressing




802.11 frame: more



802.11: mobility within same subnet



- ❖ H1 remains in same IP subnet: IP address can remain same
- ❖ switch: which AP is associated with H1?
 - self-learning: switch will see frame from H1 and "remember" which switch port can be used to reach H1



802.11: advanced capabilities

Rate Adaptation

- ❖ base station, mobile dynamically change transmission rate (physical layer modulation technique) as mobile moves, SNR varies



1. SNR decreases, BER increase as node moves away from base station
2. When BER becomes too high, switch to lower transmission rate but with lower BER


802.11: advanced capabilities

Power Management

- ❖ *node-to-AP: "I am going to sleep until next beacon frame"*
 - *AP knows not to transmit frames to this node*
 - *node wakes up before next beacon frame*
- ❖ *beacon frame: contains list of mobiles with AP-to-mobile frames waiting to be sent*
 - *node will stay awake if AP-to-mobile frames to be sent; otherwise sleep again until next beacon frame*

802.15: personal area network



- ❖ less than 10 m diameter
- ❖ replacement for cables (mouse, keyboard, headphones)
- ❖ ad hoc: no infrastructure
- ❖ master/slaves:
 - slaves request permission to send (to master)
 - master grants requests
- ❖ 802.15: evolved from Bluetooth specification
 - 2.4-2.5 GHz radio band
 - up to 721 kbps



-  Master device
-  Slave device
-  Parked device (inactive)


802.16: WiMAX

- ❖ like 802.11 & cellular:
base station model
 - transmissions to/from base station by hosts with omnidirectional antenna
 - base station-to-base station backhaul with point-to-point antenna
- ❖ unlike 802.11:
 - range ~ 6 miles ("city rather than coffee shop")
 - ~14 Mbps



802.16: WiMAX: downlink, uplink scheduling

- ❖ transmission frame
 - down-link subframe: base station to node
 - uplink subframe: node to base station



*base station tells nodes who will get to receive (DL map)
and who will get to send (UL map), and when*

- ❖ *WiMAX standard provide mechanism for scheduling, but not scheduling algorithm*

Summary

- ❖ principles behind data link layer services:
 - error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - link layer addressing
- ❖ instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
 - Ethernet
 - switched LANS, VLANs
 - PPP
 - virtualized networks as a link layer: MPLS
- ❖ synthesis: a day in the life of a web request

let's take a breath

- ❖ journey down protocol stack *complete* (except PHY)
- ❖ solid understanding of networking principles, practice
- ❖ could stop here but *lots* of interesting topics!
 - multimedia
 - security
 - network management