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## Optimization Problem

- Root location and Optimization are related in the sense that both involve guessing and searching for a point on a function.
- Root location involves searching for zeros of a function or functions. In contrast, optimization involves searching for either the minimum or the maximum.


## Optimization Problem



## Optimization Problem

- An optimization or mathematical programming problem generally can be stated as:

Find $x$, which minimizes or maximizes $f(x)$ subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i}(x) \leq a_{i} i=1,2, \ldots, m \\
& e_{i}(x)=b_{i} i=1,2, \ldots, p
\end{aligned}
$$

Where x is an n -dimensional design vector, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ is the objective function, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ are inequality constraints, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ are equality constraints, and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are constants.

## Optimization Problem


(a)

(b)

One-Dimensional Optimization

Two-Dimensional Optimization

## Optimization Problem



## Optimization Problem

## Types of Optimization Problems:

- One-Dimensional Unconstrained Optimization.
(Golden-section search, parabolic interpolation, and Newton's method)
- Multidimensional Unconstrained Optimization.
(Conjugate gradient, Newton's method, Marquardt's method, and quasi-Newton methods)
- Constrained Optimization


## Optimization Problem

The General problem definition is:
Minimize the Objective Function $G\left(x_{i}\right)$
While satisfying the Constraints $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=0.0$

In case There is no Constraints $(\mathrm{H})$, or merging the constraints with the objective function, the problem is called unconstrained Optimization

## Optimization Problem

$>$ In case of maximizing the Objective Function $G\left(x_{i}\right)$, it is the same problem as minimizing $-\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$.
$>$ To merge the constraints with the objective function to switch from constrained to unconstrained optimization, there are several methods such as penalty or Lagrange methods

$$
\mathrm{G}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right]^{2}
$$

Where $I$ is a large number

## ONE-DIMENSIONAL

## OPTIMIZATION

## Line Search

To minimize an objective function with one variable, line search can be categorized as:
> Line Search Without Using Derivatives:
$\star$ Golden Section Method.

* Fibonacci Search Method.
> Line Search Using Derivatives:
* Bisection Method.
* Newton's Method.


## Line Search : Golden Sections



If there is possible interval of uncertainty between $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$, we can try two inner values $\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}$ then:

1- If $G\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ is the smallest, then Minimum lies between $a_{k}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{k}}$.

2- $\operatorname{If}\left(\mu_{k}\right)$ is the smallest, then Minimum lies between $\lambda_{k}$ and $b_{k}$.

## Line Search : Golden Sections

> The Golden Ratio:

$$
\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=0.61803 \ldots
$$

This ratio was employed for a number of purposes, including the development of the rectangle. These proportions were considered aesthetically pleasing by the Greeks. Among other things, many of their temples followed this shape.

## Line Search : Golden Sections

> The Golden Ratio:

$$
\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}=0.61803 \ldots
$$



## Line Search : Golden Sections

$>$ To make use of the three values in the next iteration, we need to divide the interval between $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ using a certain ration (a) so that in the next iteration one of $\lambda_{k}$ or $\mu_{k}$ will be reused.
$>$ To Achieve this criteria, the following equations should be satisfied :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{k}=a_{k}+(1-\alpha)\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
\mu_{k}=a_{k}+\alpha\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
\alpha^{2}+\alpha-1=0 \text { then } \alpha=\sqrt{1.25}-0.5=0.618034
\end{gathered}
$$

## Line Search : Golden Sections

## EXAMPLE (1):

Find the minimum of:

$$
G(x)=x^{2}+2 x
$$

Subject to

$$
-3 \leq x \leq 5 \text { (Possible uncertainty interval) }
$$

## Solution

By analytical means, the minimum of $G$ is at $x=-1$. In order to obtain it with Golden sections see the following table:

## Line Search : Golden Sections

| K | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\lambda_{k=} a_{k}+(0.382)\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{k}=a_{k}+(0.618)\left(b_{k}-\right. \\ \left.a_{k}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $G\left(\lambda_{k}\right)$ | $\mathrm{G}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -3.000 | 5.000 | 0.056 | -1.944 | 0.115 | 7.669 |
| 2 | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{-3.000}$ | 1.944 | -1.111 | 0.056 | -0.988 | 0.115 |
| 3 | -3.000 | 0.056 | -1.833 | -1.111 | -0.306 | -0.988 |
| 4 | -1.833 | 0.056 | -1.111 | -0.666 | -0.988 | -0.888 |
| 5 | -1.833 | -0.666 | -1.387 | -1.111 | -0.850 | -0.988 |
| 6 | -1.387 | -0.666 | -1.111 | -0.941 | -0.988 | -0.997 |
| 7 | -1.111 | -0.666 | -0.941 | -0.836 | -0.997 | -0.973 |
| 8 | -1.111 | -0.836 | -1.006 | -0.941 | -1.000 | -0.997 |
| 9 | -1.111 | -0.941 | -1.046 | -1.006 | -0.998 | -1.000 |

## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)

Fibonacci Mathematical Series:
, 233 , .. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$, $\ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$

- Each number after the first two represents the sum of the preceding two.
- An interesting property of the Fibonacci sequence relates to the ratio of consecutive numbers in the sequence; that is, $0 / 1=0,1 / 1=1,1 / 2=0.5,2 / 3=0.667,3 / 5=0.6,5 / 8=$ $0.625,8 / 13=0.615$
- As one proceeds, the ratio of consecutive numbers approaches the golden ratio!


## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)



Figure: Columbine (left, 5 petals); Black-eyed Susan (right, 13 petals)


Figure: Shasta Daisy (left, 21 petals); Field Daisies (right, 34 petals) Fibonacci Mathematical Series:
, 233 , ... $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i},} \ldots \ldots . . . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$

## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)



Bracts arranged in<br>Fibonacci numbers of spirals

Fibonacci Mathematical Series:

|  | 233, 144, 89, 55, 34, 21, 13, 5, 3, 2 |
| :---: | :---: |

## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)

Fibonacci used the following series of numbers to split the possible uncertainty interval (L):

$\ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$

1- Define the allowable final uncertainty length $D$.

2- Number of Fibonacci series (N) could be defined from

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}
$$

## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)

$$
\text { 3- } \begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{k}= a_{k}+\left(F_{N-k-1} / F_{N-k+1}\right)\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right) \\
& \mu_{k}=a_{k}+\left(F_{N-k} / F_{N-k+1}\right)\left(b_{k}-a_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

4- Same organization shall be used as Golden Section Method

## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)

## EXAMPLE

Find the minimum of
$G(x)=x^{2}+2 x$
Subject to
$-3 \leq x \leq 5$ (Possible uncertainty interval)
The acceptable final uncertainty interval length is 0.2
Solution
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=(5-(-3)) / .2=40$ then $\mathrm{N}=9$

## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)



## Line Search (Bisection Method)

$>$ In this method, the search is made for the zero value for the first derivative of the objective function.
$>$ Bisection method could be used to find this zero value.

## Line Search (Newoton's Method)

In this method, a quadratic approximation to the function " G " in the neighborhood of $\lambda_{k}$ is described as $Q$ as follows:

$$
Q(\lambda)=G\left(\lambda_{k}\right)+G^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{2} G^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

For minimum $Q$, the derivative of $Q$ is equal zero. This yield to:

$$
Q^{\prime}(\lambda)=G^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)+\lambda G^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{k}\right)=0
$$

Then $Q=0.0$ at $\lambda=\lambda_{k}$

$$
\lambda_{k+1}=\lambda_{k}-\frac{G^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)}{G^{\prime \prime}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)}
$$

## Line Search (Newoton's Method)

## EXAMPLE

Find the minimum of $G(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}4 x^{3}-3 x^{4} & \text { if } & x \geq 0 \\ 4 x^{3}+3 x^{4} & \text { if } & x<0\end{array}\right\}$


## Line Search (Newoton's Method)

## EXAMPLE

The convergence depends on the initial value and how it is close to the actual minimum. If initial value of -0.7 is used, the convergence is achieved.

| $\mathbf{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{/ /}$ | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{k + 1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | -0.7 | 1.764 | 0.84 | -2.8 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | -2.8 | -169 | 215 | -2.01 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -2.01 | -49.2 | 97.51 | -1.51 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -1.51 | -13.9 | 45.66 | -1.2 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | -1.2 | -3.56 | 23.31 | -1.05 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -1.05 | -0.69 | 14.58 | -1 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -1 | -0.06 | 12.22 | -1 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | -1 | -0 | 12 | -1 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | -1 | -0 | 12 | -1 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | -1 | 0 | 12 | -1 |

## Line Search (Newoton's Method)

## EXAMPLE

If initial value of -0.6 is used, the convergence is not achieved.

| $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{k}}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{G}^{/ /}$ | $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | -0.6 | 1.728 | -1.44 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.6 | 1.728 | 1.44 | -0.6 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -0.6 | 1.728 | -1.44 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.6 | 1.728 | 1.44 | -0.6 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | -0.6 | 1.728 | -1.44 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 0.6 | 1.728 | 1.44 | -0.6 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -0.6 | 1.728 | -1.44 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 0.6 | 1.728 | 1.44 | -0.6 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | -0.6 | 1.728 | -1.44 | 0.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0.6 | 1.728 | 1.44 | -0.6 |

## TWO-DIMENSIONAL

## OPTIMIZATION

## Optimization Problem

> The General problem definition is:

Minimize the Objective Function $\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$<br>Where $\mathrm{i}=1, \mathrm{n}$

$>$ To minimize the objective function there are two approaches:
$\checkmark$ Multidimensional Search without using Derivatives.
$\checkmark$ Multidimensional Search using Derivatives.

## Optimization Problem



## Optimization Problem

To optimize a multi-dimensional Objective function, we need:
$>$ A direction that we search for the minimum on it.
$>$ Line Search to find the minimum value on this direction.


# Multidimensional Search Without Using Derivatives 

$>$ Cyclic Method.
$>$ Hooke and Jeeves.
$>$ Rosenbrock

## Cyclic Method

1- Chose a scalar value $\varepsilon>0$ to be used to terminate the algorithm (i.e. $\left\|X_{k+1}-X_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$ ).

2- Choose an initial point $X_{1}$.
3- set $y_{1}=X_{1}$ and $K=1$ (cycles Counter ) and $J=1$ (Line search Counter)

4- Let $\lambda$ be an optimal solution to minimize $G\left(y_{j}+\lambda d_{j}\right)$ where $d_{j}$ is the coordinate directions (i.e. $\mathrm{d}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right.$ $\ldots), d_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array} ..\right), d_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & \ldots .1\end{array}\right)$


5- Let $y_{j+1}=y_{j}+\lambda d_{j}$
6- Repeat Step 4 using $\mathrm{j}+1$, if $\mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{n}$ otherwise goto step 7

7- Let $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ and replace k by $\mathrm{k}+1$ and repeat step 3 till $\left\|X_{k+1}-X_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$

## EXAMPLE

Minimize

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-2\right)^{4}+\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-2 \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { Where } \mathrm{i}=1,2
$$

Table 8.6 Summary of Computations for the Cyclic Coordinate Method

| Iteration <br> $k$ | $\mathbf{x}_{k}$ <br> $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ | $j$ | $\mathbf{d}_{j}$ | $\mathbf{y}_{j}$ | $\lambda_{J}$ | $\mathbf{y}_{j+1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(0.00,3.00)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(0.00,3.00)$ | 3.13 | $(3.13,3.00)$ |
|  | 52.00 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(3.13,3.00)$ | -1.44 | $(3.13,1.56)$ |
| 2 | $(3.13,1.56)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(3.13,1.56)$ | -0.50 | $(2.63,1.56)$ |
|  | 1.63 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(2.63,1.56)$ | -0.25 | $(2.63,1.31)$ |
| 3 | $(2.63,1.31)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(2.63,1.31)$ | -0.19 | $(2.44,1.31)$ |
|  | 0.16 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(2.44,1.31)$ | -0.09 | $(2.44,1.22)$ |
| 4 | $(2.44,1.22)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(2.44,1.22)$ | -0.09 | $(2.35,1.22)$ |
|  | 0.04 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(2.35,1.22)$ | -0.05 | $(2.35,1.17)$ |
| 5 | $(2.35,1.17)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(2.35,1.17)$ | -0.06 | $(2.29,1.17)$ |
|  | 0.015 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(2.29,1.17)$ | -0.03 | $(2.29,1.14)$ |
| 6 | $(2.29,1.14)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(2.29,1.14)$ | -0.04 | $(2.25,1.14)$ |
|  | 0.007 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(2.25,1.14)$ | -0.02 | $(2.25,1.12)$ |
| 7 | $(2.25,1.12)$ | 1 | $(1.0,0.0)$ | $(2.25,1.12)$ | -0.03 | $(2.22,1.12)$ |
|  | 0.004 | 2 | $(0.0,1.0)$ | $(2.22,1.12)$ | -0.01 | $(2.22,1.11)$ |

## Cyclic Method Convergence problems

1- If $G$ is differentiable then the method converges to a stationary point

inflection point

minimum

maximum

## Cyclic Method Convergence problems

2- If $G$ has ridges, then Cyclic method may not converge to the absolute minimum


Figure 8.8 Illustration of the effect of a ridge.

## Hooke and Jeevs



Figure 8.9 Illustration of the method of Hooke and Jeeves.

## Hooke and Jeevs



## Hooke and Jeevs

1- Choose a scalar value $\varepsilon>0$ to be used to terminate the algorithm (i.e. $\quad\left\|X_{k+1}-X_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$ )

2- Choose an initial point $X_{1}$ and set $k=1$

3- Make one Cycle from the Cyclic Method to obtain $X_{k+1}$
4- Define the new search direction as $d=X_{k+1}-X_{k}$ and make a line search to get the minimum at $y$ '

5- Set $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}+1$ and repeat Step 3 using y ' as an initial value
6- Keep repeating till $\left\|X_{k+1}-X_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$

## EXAMPLE

$$
G\left(X_{i}\right)=\left(x_{1}-2\right)^{4}+\left(x_{1}-2 x_{2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { Where } \mathrm{i}=1,2
$$

Table 8.7 Summary of Computations for the Method of Hooke and Jeeves Using Line Searches

| Iteration <br> $k$ | $\mathrm{x}_{k}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\mathrm{~m}_{k}\right)$ | $j$ | $\mathrm{y}_{j}$ | $\mathrm{~d}_{j}$ | $\lambda_{j}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{j+1}$ | d | $\hat{\lambda}$ | $\mathrm{y}_{3}+\hat{\lambda} \mathrm{d}$ |  |
| 1 | $(0.00,3.00)$ | 1 | $(0.00,3.00)$ | $(1.0,0.0)$ | 3.13 | $(3.13,3.00)$ | - | - | - |
|  | 52.00 | 2 | $(3.13,3.00)$ | $(0.0,1.0)$ | -1.44 | $(3.13,1.56)$ | $(3.13,1.44)$ | -0.10 | $(2.82,1.70)$ |
| 2 | $(3.13,1.56)$ | 1 | $(2.82,1.70)$ | $(1.0,0.0)$ | -0.12 | $(2.70,1.70)$ | - | - | - |
|  | 1.63 | 2 | $(2.70,1.70)$ | $(0.0,1.0)$ | -0.35 | $(2.70,1.35)$ | $(-0.43,-0.21)$ | 1.50 | $(2.06,1.04)$ |
| 3 | $(2.70,1.35)$ | 1 | $(2.06,1.04)$ | $(1.0,0.0)$ | -0.02 | $(2.04,1.04)$ | - | - | - |
|  | 0.24 | 2 | $(2.04,1.04)$ | $(0.0,1.0)$ | -0.02 | $(2.04,1.02)$ | $(-0.66,-0.33)$ | 0.06 | $(2.00,1.00)$ |
| 4 | $(2.04,1.02)$ | 1 | $(2.00,1.00)$ | $(1.0,0.0)$ | 0.00 | $(2.00,1.00)$ | - | - | - |
|  | 0.000003 | 2 | $(2.00,1.00)$ | $(0.0,1.0)$ | 0.00 | $(2.00,1.00)$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | $(2.00,1.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Multidimensional Search Using Derivatives 

1- Steepest Descent Method

2- Newton Method

3- Conjugate Direction Method

## Steepest Descent Method

1- Line search will take the following direction each global iteration

$$
d=-\frac{\nabla G\left(x_{k}\right)}{\left\|\nabla G\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|}
$$

Note:

$$
\nabla G\left(x_{k}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$



## EXAMPLE

$$
G\left(X_{i}\right)=\left(x_{1}-2\right)^{4}+\left(x_{1}-2 x_{2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { Where } \mathrm{i}=1,2
$$

Table 8.11 Summary of Computations for the Method of Steepest Descent

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Iteration } \\ k \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{x}_{k} \\ f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ | $\left\\|\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)\right\\|$ | $\mathrm{d}_{k}=-\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ | $\lambda_{k}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} (0.00,3.00) \\ 52.00 \end{gathered}$ | (-44.00, 24.00) | 50.12 | (44.00, -24.00) | 0.062 | (2.70, 1.51 |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} (2.70,1.51) \\ 0.34 \end{gathered}$ | (0.73, 1.28) | 1.47 | $(-0.73,-1.28)$ | 0.24 | (2.52, 1.20) |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} (2.52,1.20) \\ 0.09 \end{gathered}$ | (0.80, -0.48) | 0.93 | ( $-0.80,0.48$ ) | 0.11 | (2.43, 1.25 ) |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} (2.43,1.25) \\ 0.04 \end{gathered}$ | (0.18, 0.28) | 0.33 | $(-0.18,-0.28)$ | 0.31 | (2.37, 1.16) |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} (2.37,1.16) \\ 0.02 \end{gathered}$ | (0.30, -0.20) | 0.36 | ( $-0.30,0.20$ ) | 0.12 | (2.33, 1.18) |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} (2.33,1.18) \\ 0.01 \end{gathered}$ | (0.08, 0.12) | 0.14 | $(-0.08,-0.12)$ | 0.36 | $(2.30,1.14)$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} (2.30,1.14) \\ 0.009 \end{gathered}$ | (0.15, -0.08) | 0.17 | $(-0.15,0.08)$ | 0.13 | (2.28, 1.15$)$ |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} (2.28,1.15) \\ 0.007 \end{gathered}$ | (0.05, 0.08) | 0.09 |  |  |  |

## NEWTON Method

1- Line search will take the following direction each global iteration

$$
x_{k+1}=x_{k}-H\left(x_{k}\right)^{-1} \nabla G\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

Note: $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} \\ \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{2}} \\ \nabla G\left(x_{k}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{1}} \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{2}} \\ \cdot \\ \dot{\partial G} \\ \frac{\partial x_{n}}{}\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right.$,


Figure 8.18 Illustration of the method of Newton.

## EXAMPLE

$G\left(X_{i}\right)=\left(x_{1}-2\right)^{4}+\left(x_{1}-2 x_{2}\right)^{2} \quad$ Where $\mathrm{i}=1,2$

Table 8.12 Summary of Computations for the Method of Newton

| Iteration k | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{x}_{k} \\ f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ | $\mathbf{H}\left(\mathrm{x}_{k}\right)$ | $\mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)^{-1}$ | $-\mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)^{-1} \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{k}\right)$ | $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} (0.00,3.00) \\ 52.00 \end{gathered}$ | (-44.0, 24.0) | $\left[\begin{array}{rr}50.0 & -4.0 \\ -4.0 & 8.0\end{array}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{384}\left[\begin{array}{rr}8.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.0 & 50.0\end{array}\right]$ | (0.67, -2.67) | (0.67, 0.33) |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} (0.67,0.33) \\ 3.13 \end{gathered}$ | $(-9.39,-0.04)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cr}23.23 & -4.0 \\ -4.0 & 8.0\end{array}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{169.84}\left[\begin{array}{cc}8.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.0 & 23.23\end{array}\right]$ | $(0.44,0.23)$ | (1.11, 0.56) |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} (1.11,0.56) \\ 0.63 \end{gathered}$ | $(-2.84,-0.04)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cr}11.50 & -4.0 \\ -4.0 & 8.0\end{array}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{76}\left[\begin{array}{cc}8.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.0 & 11.50\end{array}\right]$. | (0.30, 0.14) | (1.41, 0.70) |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} (1.41,0.70) \\ 0.12 \end{gathered}$ | $(-0.80,-0.04)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cc}6.18 & 4.0 \\ -4.0 & 8.0\end{array}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{33.44}\left[\begin{array}{ll}8.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.0 & 6.18\end{array}\right]$ | (0.20, 0.10) | (1.61, 0.80) |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} (1.61,0.80) \\ 0.02 \end{gathered}$ | $(-0.22,-0.04)$ | $\left[\begin{array}{cr}3.83 & -4.0 \\ -4.0 & 8.0\end{array}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{14.64}\left[\begin{array}{ll}8.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.0 & 3.83\end{array}\right]$ | (0.13, 0.07) | $(1.74,0.87)$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} (1.74,0.87) \\ 0.005 \end{gathered}$ | (-0.07, 0.00) | $\left[\begin{array}{rr}2.81 & -4.0 \\ -4.0 & 8.0\end{array}\right]$ | $\frac{1}{6.48}\left[\begin{array}{ll}8.0 & 4.0 \\ 4.0 & 2.81\end{array}\right]$ | $(0.09,0.04)$ | $(1.83,0.91)$ |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} (1.83,0.91) \\ 0.0009 \end{gathered}$ | (0.0003, -0.04) |  |  |  |  |

## MatLAB Program OPTIMIZATION TOOL <br> 

## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

- Useful for larger, more structured optimization problems.
-Sample functions include:
Linprog, quadprog, fmincon, fminbnd
Use MATLab Help to know
the use of each function


## Line Search (Fibonacci Search Method)

## EXAMPLE

Find the minimum of
$G(x)=x^{2}+2 x$
Subject to
$-3 \leq x \leq 5$ (Possible uncertainty interval)
The acceptable final uncertainty interval length is 0.2

## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

## Unconstrained Optimization Example:

$\gg x=$ fminbnd $\left(@(x)\left(x .{ }^{\wedge} 2+2^{*} x\right),-3,5\right)$
Find minimum
of single-


$$
X=\begin{gathered}
\text { variable } \\
\text { function on } \\
\text { fixed interval }
\end{gathered}
$$ Function
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## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

## Unconstrained Optimization Example:

>> x = -1:.01:2;
$\mathrm{y}=\operatorname{humps}(\mathrm{x})$;
plot(x,y)
xlabel('x')
ylabel('humps(x)') grid on


## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

## Unconstrained Optimization Example:

>> x = fminbnd(@humps,0.3,1)
$x=$
0.6370


## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

## Unconstrained Optimization Example:

Consider the problem of finding a minimum of the function:


## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

## Unconstrained Optimization Example:

Plot the function to get an idea of where it is minimized.
$f=@(x, y) x . .^{*} \exp \left(-x . .^{\wedge} 2-y .{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)+\left(x .{ }^{\wedge} 2+y .{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) / 20 ;$
ezsurfc(f,[-2,2])

## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

## Unconstrained Optimization Example:

Plot the function to get an idea of where it is minimized.

Minimum is at

$$
(-0.5,0)
$$



## OPTIMIZATION TOOLBOX

| Date | Topic |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tuesday 10-5 | Optimization |
| Tuesday 17-5 | Curve Fitting |
| Tuesday 24-5 | Numerical Integration |
| Tuesday 31-5 | Fourier Analysis |

